

# ON THE CANONICAL REAL STRUCTURE ON WONDERFUL VARIETIES

D. AKHIEZER AND S. CUPIT-FOUTOU

**ABSTRACT.** We study equivariant real structures on spherical varieties. We call such a structure canonical if it is equivariant with respect to the involution defining the split real form of the acting reductive group  $G$ . We prove the existence and uniqueness of a canonical structure for homogeneous spherical varieties  $G/H$  with  $H$  self-normalizing and for their wonderful embeddings. For a strict wonderful variety we give an estimate of the number of real form orbits on the set of real points.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Wonderful varieties	3
2. Finiteness theorem	5
3. General properties of equivariant real structures	5
4. The canonical real structure	8
5. Real part: local structure and $G_0^\sigma$ -orbits	11
Appendix A. Spherical varieties: invariants and local structure	14
A.1. Luna-Vust invariants of spherical homogeneous spaces	14
A.2. Local structure	15
References	16

## INTRODUCTION

A real structure on a complex manifold  $X$  is an anti-holomorphic involution  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$ . The set of fixed points  $X^\mu$  of  $\mu$  is called the real part of  $(X, \mu)$ . If it is clear from the context which  $\mu$  is considered then the real part will be denoted by  $\mathbb{R}X$ . In our paper, we are interested in the algebraic case. This means that  $X$  is a complex algebraic variety, which we will assume non-singular though this is not needed for the

---

Supported by SFB/TR 12, *Symmetry and universality in mesoscopic systems*, of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

definition of a real structure. Also,  $\mu$  is algebraic in the sense that for any function  $f$  regular at  $x \in X$  the function  $\overline{f \circ \mu}$  is regular at  $\mu(x)$ .

It is not easy to classify all real structures on a given variety  $X$ . Much work is done for compact toric varieties, where one has the notion of a toric real structure. Namely, if  $X$  is a toric variety acted on by an algebraic torus  $T$  then a real structure  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be toric if  $\mu$  normalizes the  $T$ -action. It is natural to classify toric real structures up to conjugation by toric automorphisms, i.e., by automorphisms of  $X$  normalizing the  $T$ -action. Again, such a classification is not easy. For toric surfaces and threefolds it was obtained by C. Delaunay; see [De].

In the toric case, there is a notion of a canonical real structure. This is a real structure which is usually defined as complex conjugation on the open  $T$ -orbit, but we prefer a slightly different and more general definition. Let  $\sigma : T \rightarrow T$  be the involutive anti-holomorphic automorphism of the real Lie group  $T$  which coincides with inversion on the maximal compact torus  $T_c \subset T$ . If  $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  then the real form defined by  $\sigma$  is split, i.e., isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$ . A canonical real structure on a toric variety  $X$  is a real structure which satisfies

$$(*) \quad \mu(a \cdot x) = \sigma(a) \cdot \mu(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in T$ . Of course, a canonical real structure is uniquely defined by the image of one point in the open orbit and any two canonical real structures are related by  $\mu'(x) = t \cdot \mu(x)$ , where  $t \in T$  and  $\sigma(t) \cdot t = 1$ .

Our goal is to generalize this notion to varieties acted on by reductive algebraic groups. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We recall that an algebraic involution  $\theta$  of  $G$  is called a Weyl involution if  $\theta(t) = t^{-1}$  for all  $t$  in some algebraic torus  $T \subset G$ . Such an involution is known to be unique up to conjugation by an inner automorphism. By Cartan Fixed Point Theorem, one can always find a maximal compact subgroup  $K \subset G$ , such that  $\theta(K) = K$ . Then the corresponding Cartan involution  $\tau$  commutes with  $\theta$  and the product  $\sigma = \tau \circ \theta = \theta \circ \tau$  is an involutive anti-holomorphic automorphism of  $G$  defining the split real form. Assume now that  $G$  acts on an algebraic variety  $X$ . Then a real structure  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  is called canonical if  $\mu$  satisfies the above condition  $(*)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in G$ . We remark that it suffices to check  $(*)$  only for  $a \in K$ , in which case one can replace  $\sigma$  by  $\theta$ .

The most natural generalization of toric varieties to the case of reductive algebraic groups is the notion of spherical varieties, which we recall in Section 1.

Suppose  $X$  is affine and non-singular. For  $X$  spherical a canonical real structure  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  always exists ([A], Theorem 1.2). However, in the non-spherical case such a structure may not exist even if  $X$  is homogeneous ([AP], Proposition 6.3).

In this paper, we study the problem of existence of a canonical real structure for all homogeneous spherical varieties, affine or not affine. We also consider the similar question for some complete spherical varieties, namely the so-called wonderful varieties. The definition of wonderful and strict wonderful varieties is recalled in Section 1.

We start with a finiteness theorem for real structures on wonderful varieties (Theorem 2.4). Then we prove some topological properties of a canonical real structure on a wonderful variety provided such a structure exists (Theorem 3.10). After that we show that a canonical real structure exists and is uniquely defined for homogeneous spherical varieties  $G/H$  with  $H$  self-normalizing (Theorem 4.12) and for their wonderful completions (Theorem 4.13). As an application we show that for a spherical subgroup  $H \subset G$ , whose normalizer is self-normalizing, there is always an anti-holomorphic involution  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ , defining the split real form and such that  $\sigma(H) = H$  (Theorem 4.14). Finally, we give an estimate of the total number of real form orbits in  $\mathbb{R}X$  for the canonical real structure on a strict wonderful variety  $X$  (Theorem 5.19).

## 1. WONDERFUL VARIETIES

Recall that  $G$  is a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A normal algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$  is called spherical if  $X$  contains an open orbit of a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$ . We denote the open orbits of  $B$  and  $G$  on  $X$  by  $X_B^\circ$  and  $X_G^\circ$  respectively.

The following definition is due to D. Luna ([Lu1]). An algebraic  $G$ -variety  $X$  is called *wonderful* if

- (i)  $X$  is complete and smooth;
- (ii)  $X$  admits an open  $G$ -orbit whose complement consists of a finite union of smooth prime divisors  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  with normal crossings;
- (iii) the  $G$ -orbit closures of  $X$  are given by the partial intersections of the  $X_i$ 's.

Remark that a wonderful variety  $X$  has a unique closed  $G$ -orbit. The latter is the full intersection of the boundary divisors  $X_i$  of  $X$ .

D. Luna proved that wonderful  $G$ -varieties are spherical. The connected center of  $G$  acts trivially on a wonderful variety, so if  $G$  acts effectively then  $G$  is semisimple. If a spherical homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits an equivariant wonderful embedding then such an embedding is unique up to a  $G$ -isomorphism; see [Lu1] and references therein.

By a theorem of F. Knop, a wonderful equivariant embedding of  $G/H$  always exists if the spherical subgroup  $H$  is self-normalizing in  $G$ ; see [K].

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a wonderful variety and let  $X_G^\circ = G/H$ . Then  $H$  has finite index in its normalizer.*

*Proof.* See Section 4.4 in [Br1].  $\square$

A wonderful variety is called *strict* if each of its points has a self-normalizing stabilizer. The class of strict wonderful varieties includes flag varieties and De Concini-Procesi compactifications ([DP]). Strict wonderful varieties are classified in [BCF].

For any variety  $X$ , let  $\text{Aut}(X)$  denote the automorphism group of  $X$ . We will need the following proposition describing the identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  for a wonderful  $G$ -variety  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.2** ([Br2], Theorem 2.4.2). *If  $X$  is wonderful under  $G$  then  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is semisimple and  $X$  is wonderful under the action of  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ .*

In addition, we have the following proposition, for which we could not find a reference.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a wonderful variety. Then  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  has finite index in  $\text{Aut}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $X_G^\circ = G/H$ , where  $H$  is the stabilizer of a point  $x_0 \in X_G^\circ$ . Let  $N$  be the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . By Proposition 1.1 the orbit  $N \cdot x_0$  is finite. For any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$  and  $g \in \text{Aut}_0(X)$  put

$$\iota_\alpha(g) = \alpha \cdot g \cdot \alpha^{-1}.$$

Let  $L$  denote the group of all automorphisms of the group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ . Then we have the homomorphism

$$\varphi : \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow L, \quad \varphi(\alpha) = \iota_\alpha,$$

whose image contains the group of inner automorphisms of  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ . Since the latter group is semisimple by Proposition 1.2,  $\text{Im}(\varphi)$  has finitely many connected components. We now prove that  $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$  is finite. It then follows that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  has finitely many connected components.

If  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(\varphi)$  then  $\alpha$  commutes with all automorphisms from  $G$ . Thus  $\alpha(gx_0) = g\alpha(x_0)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Since  $X$  has only one open  $G$ -orbit, we have  $\alpha(X_G^\circ) = X_G^\circ$  and, in particular,  $\alpha(x_0) = ax_0$  for some  $a \in G$ . Now take  $g \in H$ . Then

$$ax_0 = \alpha(x_0) = \alpha(gx_0) = g\alpha(x_0) = gax_0,$$

hence  $aga^{-1} \in H$  and  $a \in N$ . Since the  $N$ -orbit of  $x_0$  is finite, there are only finitely many possibilities for  $ax_0$ . But, for  $\alpha(x_0)$  fixed,  $\alpha$  is uniquely determined on the open  $G$ -orbit and thus everywhere on  $X$ .  $\square$

## 2. FINITENESS THEOREM

The group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  acts on the set of real structures on  $X$  by

$$\mu \mapsto \alpha \cdot \mu \cdot \alpha^{-1}.$$

For  $X$  wonderful, we prove that this action has only finitely many orbits.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a wonderful variety. Then, up to an automorphism of  $X$ , there are only finitely many real structures on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  has at least one real structure  $\mu_0$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(X)$ -orbits on the set of real structures on  $X$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the cohomology classes from  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Aut}(X))$ , where the generator  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  acts on  $\text{Aut}(X)$  by sending  $\alpha$  to  $\mu_0 \alpha \mu_0$ . We now use the exact cohomology sequence, associated with the normal subgroup  $\text{Aut}_0(X) \triangleleft \text{Aut}(X)$ . From Corollary 3 in I.5.5 of [S] it follows that  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Aut}(X))$  is finite if the following two conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is finite;
- (2) for the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  obtained by twisting the given action by an arbitrary cocycle  $a \in Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, \text{Aut}(X))$  the corresponding cohomology set  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}_2, {}_a\text{Aut}_0(X))$  is finite.

We have just proved (1). Since  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is linear algebraic, (2) follows from Borel-Serre's Theorem (see [BS]).  $\square$

## 3. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF EQUIVARIANT REAL STRUCTURES

Let  $X$  be a non-singular complex algebraic variety with a real structure  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$ . Suppose  $G$  is a connected algebraic group acting on  $X$  and let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  be an involutive anti-holomorphic automorphism of  $G$  as a real algebraic group. Then the fixed point subgroup

$$G^\sigma = \{g \in G \mid \sigma(g) = g\}$$

is real algebraic and its identity component  $G_0^\sigma$  is a closed real Lie subgroup in  $G$ .

We call  $\mu$  a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure if

$$\mu(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, x \in X.$$

Later on, we will be interested in the case when  $G^\sigma$  is a split real form of a reductive group  $G$ ; see Introduction. However, in the following elementary lemma  $G$  and  $\sigma$  are arbitrary.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $H \subset G$  be an algebraic subgroup and let  $X = G/H$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}X$ . Then the connected component of  $\mathbb{R}X$  through  $x_0$  coincides with  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x_0$ . The orbit  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x_0$  is Zariski dense in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be the complex dimension of  $X$ . Then the real dimension of  $\mathbb{R}X$  is also  $n$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -equivariant, we have  $G^\sigma(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}X$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\dim G_0^\sigma(x_0) \geq n$ . Let  $G_{x_0}$  be the stabilizer of  $x_0$  in  $G$ . Then  $G_0^\sigma \cap G_{x_0}$  is a totally real submanifold in  $G_{x_0}$ , hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} G_0^\sigma \cap G_{x_0} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G_{x_0},$$

and so we obtain

$$\dim G_0^\sigma \cdot x_0 = \dim G_0^\sigma - \dim G_0^\sigma \cap G_{x_0} \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G_{x_0} = n.$$

Finally, since  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x_0 \subset X$  is a totally real submanifold of maximal possible dimension,  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x_0$  is not contained in an algebraic subvariety of dimension smaller than  $n$ .  $\square$

From now on  $G$  is reductive. We need some preparatory lemmas on the involution  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  defining the split real form of  $G$ . We also fix some notation, which will be used all the time in the sequel. So let  $T \subset G$  be a torus, on which  $\sigma$  acts as the involutive anti-holomorphic automorphism with fixed point subgroup being the non-compact real part of  $T$ . In coordinates, if  $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$  then

$$\sigma(z_1, \dots, z_r) = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_r), \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^r.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $T$ . Then  $\overline{\chi \circ \sigma} = \chi$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $t$  in the non-compact real part of  $T$ . Then  $\sigma(t) = t$  and the value of  $\chi$  is real. This shows that the weights  $\overline{\chi \circ \sigma}$  and  $\chi$  coincide on real points, hence also everywhere by analytic extension.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Denote the associated involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  again by  $\sigma$ . Then all root spaces in  $\mathfrak{g}$  are  $\sigma$ -stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be a root,  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  the corresponding root space,  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , and  $t \in T$ . Then

$$\text{Ad}(t) \cdot X_\alpha = \alpha(t) X_\alpha$$

implies

$$\text{Ad}(\sigma(t)) \cdot \sigma(X_\alpha) = \overline{\alpha(t)} \sigma(X_\alpha)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(t) \cdot \sigma(X_\alpha) = \overline{\alpha \circ \sigma(t)} \sigma(X_\alpha) = \alpha(t) \sigma(X_\alpha),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.6. Therefore  $\sigma(X_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , showing that the root spaces are  $\sigma$ -stable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *With the above choice of  $T$  we have  $\sigma(B) = B$  and  $\sigma(P) = P$  for any Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$  containing  $T$  and any parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  containing  $B$ .*

*Proof.* The Lie algebras of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are spanned by root spaces and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $T$ , so Lemma 3.7 applies.  $\square$

We will assume throughout the paper that  $T, B$  and  $P$  are chosen as in Corollary 3.8.

**Proposition 3.9.** *With the above choice of  $P$ , define a self-map of the flag variety  $X = G/P$  by  $\mu(g \cdot P) = \sigma(g) \cdot P$ . Then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$ . Such a structure is uniquely defined. The set  $\mathbb{R}X$  is the unique closed  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit on  $X$ . In particular, the (possibly disconnected) real form  $G^\sigma$  is transitive on  $\mathbb{R}X$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, the map  $\mu$  is correctly defined, anti-holomorphic,  $\sigma$ -equivariant, and involutive. If there is another  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu'$  on  $X$ , then the product  $\mu' \cdot \mu$  is an automorphism of  $X$  commuting with the  $G$ -action. Since  $P$  is self-normalizing, such an automorphism is the identity map, hence  $\mu' = \mu$ . By construction, the base point  $e \cdot P$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}X$ . According to Lemma 3.5, each connected component of  $\mathbb{R}X$  is a closed  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit. By [W] such an orbit is unique, so  $\mathbb{R}X$  is connected and coincides with that orbit. The last assertion is now obvious.  $\square$

For a wonderful variety  $X$ , the existence of a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure requires some work involving Luna-Vust invariants of spherical homogeneous spaces. We postpone this until the next section. Here, assuming that such a structure  $\mu$  exists, we study geometric properties of  $\mathbb{R}X$ . The notation is as in Section 1. In particular,

$$Y = X_1 \cap \dots \cap X_r$$

is the unique closed  $G$ -orbit in  $X$ . Note that  $\mu(Y) = Y$  and  $\mathbb{R}X \cap Y$  is the unique closed  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit in  $Y$  by Proposition 3.9.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be any wonderful  $G$ -variety equipped with a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure  $\mu$ . Then:*

- (i)  $G_0^\sigma$  has finitely many orbits on  $\mathbb{R}X$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}X \cap Gx \neq \emptyset$  for any  $x \in X$ ;

(iii) *there is exactly one closed  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit in  $\mathbb{R}X$ ; this orbit is contained in the closed  $G$ -orbit and is  $G^\sigma$ -homogeneous;*

(iv)  *$\mathbb{R}X$  is connected.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\mathbb{R}X$  is a non-empty real algebraic set. In particular,  $\mathbb{R}X$  has finitely many connected components. By Lemma 3.5, each of them is one  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit.

(ii) We choose  $B$  as in Corollary 3.8. We prove first that  $\mu$  preserves  $G$ -orbits. This is clear for the open orbit, because its  $\mu$ -image is also an open orbit which is unique. Since the orbit structure is well understood (see Section 1), it is enough to prove that  $\mu(X_i) = X_i$ , where  $X_i$  are the boundary divisors. Equivalently, it suffices to prove that the  $G$ -invariant valuation  $v_i$  centered on  $X_i$  is  $\mu$ -invariant in the sense that

$$v_i(\overline{f \circ \mu}) = v_i(f)$$

for any  $f \in \mathbb{C}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ . It is enough to check this on  $B$ -eigenfunctions (see Appendix A.1), but then Lemma 3.6 yields the required equality.

Now, let  $G \cdot x$  be any  $G$ -orbit on  $X$  and let  $\text{cl}(G \cdot x)$  be its Zariski closure within  $X$ . Since  $G \cdot x$  is  $\mu$ -stable, so is  $\text{cl}(G \cdot x)$ . Note that  $Y \subset \text{cl}(G \cdot x)$ , therefore  $Z := \mathbb{R}X \cap \text{cl}(G \cdot x) \neq \emptyset$ . Furthermore,  $\text{cl}(G \cdot x)$  is a non-singular variety and  $Z \subset \text{cl}(G \cdot x)$  is a totally real submanifold of maximal possible dimension. Therefore  $Z$  is not contained in the boundary  $\text{cl}(G \cdot x) \setminus G \cdot x$ .

(iii) Given a closed orbit  $G_0^\sigma \cdot y \subset \mathbb{R}X$ , consider the orbit  $G^\sigma \cdot y$ , which is also closed, and take a fixed point of the real form  $B^\sigma \subset B$  thereon. The existence of such a point follows from Borel's theorem for connected split solvable groups. Assuming  $B^\sigma \cdot y = y$ , we also have  $B \cdot y = y$ . But then  $G \cdot y$  is projective, i.e.,  $G \cdot y = Y$ . Thus our statement is reduced to the case of flag varieties, and we can apply Proposition 3.9.

(iv) Assume  $\mathbb{R}X$  is disconnected. Since  $\mathbb{R}X \cap Y$  is connected, we can find a connected component  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}X$ , such that  $W \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Then  $W$  is a closed  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit and  $G^\sigma \cdot W$  is a closed  $G^\sigma$ -orbit, which also has empty intersection with  $Y$ . On the other hand, by the above argument,  $B^\sigma$  has a fixed point on  $G^\sigma \cdot W$ . Since that fixed point is also fixed by  $B$ , it belongs to the closed  $G$ -orbit  $Y$ . We get a contradiction showing that  $\mathbb{R}X$  is in fact connected. □

#### 4. THE CANONICAL REAL STRUCTURE

Recall that  $T$  and  $B$  are chosen as in Corollary 3.8.



**Proposition 4.11.** *Any spherical subgroup  $H \subset G$  is conjugate to  $\sigma(H)$  by an inner automorphism of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* We note first that  $\sigma(H)$  is a spherical subgroup of  $G$ . We shall prove that the Luna-Vust invariants attached to  $X_1 = G/H$  and  $X_2 = G/\sigma(H)$  are the same and then use the theorem in Appendix A.1, from where we also take the notations.

Consider the map  $\mu : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  defined by

$$X_1 \ni g \cdot H \xrightarrow{\mu} \sigma(g) \cdot \sigma(H) \in X_2.$$

We show that  $\mu$  defines a bijection between the sets of  $B$ -eigenfunctions on  $X_2$  and  $X_1$ . Moreover, the associated map  $\Lambda^+(X_2) \rightarrow \Lambda^+(X_1)$  is the identity map. Namely, let  $f$  be a  $B$ -eigenfunction in  $\mathbb{C}(X_2)$  and let  $\lambda$  be its  $B$ -weight. Then the complex conjugate of  $f \circ \mu$  is a  $B$ -eigenfunction in  $\mathbb{C}(X_1)$  with weight  $\overline{\lambda \circ \sigma}$ . The latter is equal to  $\lambda$  by Lemma 3.6. Since we can apply the same argument to the map  $\mu^{-1}$ , it follows that the weight lattices of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  coincide and  $\mu$  induces the identity map on  $\Lambda^+(X_2) = \Lambda^+(X_1)$ .

Further, consider the map  $\mathcal{V}(X_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(X_2)$ , defined by

$$v \mapsto (f \mapsto v(\overline{f \circ \mu})).$$

This map is obviously bijective. Namely, its inverse is defined analogously by means of the mapping  $\mu^{-1} : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ .

Finally, there is a natural bijection  $\iota : \mathcal{D}_{G,X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G,X_2}$  sending  $D$  to  $\pi_2[\sigma(\pi_1^{-1}(D))]$  where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the projections from  $G$  to  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively. For this mapping,  $\varphi_{\iota(D)}$  evaluated on  $\overline{\lambda \circ \sigma}$  gives the same result as  $\varphi_D$  evaluated on  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $\overline{\lambda \circ \sigma}$  coincides with  $\lambda$ , and so we have  $\varphi_{\iota(D)} = \varphi_D$ . Similarly,  $G_{\iota(D)} = \sigma(G_D) = G_D$  because  $G_D$  is a parabolic subgroup containing  $B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $H$  be a spherical subgroup of  $G$  and  $a \in G$  such that  $\sigma(H) = aHa^{-1}$ . The assignment*

$$\mu_0 : gH \mapsto \sigma(g)aH$$

*defines an anti-holomorphic  $\sigma$ -equivariant diffeomorphism of  $G/H$ . If  $H$  is self-normalizing then this map is involutive, hence a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $G/H$ . Furthermore, for  $H$  self-normalizing a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $G/H$  is uniquely defined.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Proposition 4.11. Further, since  $\sigma$  is an involution of  $G$ ,  $\sigma(a)a$  belongs to the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . The latter coincides with  $H$ . This proves the second assertion. The product of two  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structures on  $G/H$  is an automorphism of

$G/H$  commuting with the  $G$ -action. For  $H$  self-normalizing in  $G$  such an automorphism is the identity map, and the last assertion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $H$  be a self-normalizing spherical subgroup of  $G$  and let  $X$  be the wonderful completion of  $G/H$ . Then there exists one and only one  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\iota : G/H \rightarrow X$  be the given wonderful completion and let  $\bar{\iota} : G/H \rightarrow \bar{X}$  be the corresponding anti-holomorphic map with  $\bar{X}$  being the complex conjugate of  $X$ . Recall that  $\bar{X} = X$  as sets and that the sheafs of regular functions of  $\bar{X}$  and  $X$  are complex conjugate.

We endow  $\bar{X}$  with the  $G$ -action  $(g, x) \mapsto \sigma(g) \cdot x$ , where  $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$  is the given action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Note that this new action is regular on  $\bar{X}$ .

Consider the real structure  $\mu_0$  introduced in Theorem 4.12. Then  $\bar{\iota} \circ \mu_0$  is again a wonderful completion of  $G/H$ . Since two wonderful completions of  $G/H$  are  $G$ -isomorphic, there exists a  $G$ -isomorphism  $\mu : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$  such that  $\mu \circ \iota = \bar{\iota} \circ \mu_0$ . The map  $\mu$  defines a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$ .

Finally, a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$  is defined by its restriction to the open  $G$ -orbit in  $X$ . The restriction is unique by Theorem 4.12.  $\square$

In the remainder, the real structure defined in Theorem 4.13 is called *the canonical real structure of  $X$* . We want to give here a group-theoretical application of Theorem 4.13.

**Theorem 4.14.** *If  $H \subset G$  is a spherical subgroup with self-normalizing normalizer then there exists an anti-holomorphic involution  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$ , defining the split real form and such that  $\sigma(H) = H$ . Moreover, one can find a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$ , such that  $B \cdot H$  is open in  $G$  and  $\sigma(B) = B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . We start with some  $\sigma$  and take  $a \in G$  as in Theorem 4.12, i.e.,  $\sigma(H) = aHa^{-1}$ . Then, of course,  $\sigma(N) = aNa^{-1}$ . Let  $X$  be a wonderful equivariant completion of  $G/N$  and let  $\mu$  be the canonical  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$ . By Theorem 3.10 we can find a  $\mu$ -fixed point in the open orbit. Let  $\mu(g_0 \cdot N) = g_0 \cdot N$ . Replace  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma_1 = i_{g_0}^{-1} \sigma i_{g_0}$ , where  $i_{g_0}$  is the inner automorphism of  $G$  given by  $x \mapsto g_0 x g_0^{-1}$ . Also, replace  $\mu$  by  $\mu_1 = g_0^{-1} \mu g_0$ . A straightforward calculation shows that  $\mu_1(gx) = \sigma_1(g) \mu_1(x)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$ , i.e.,  $\mu_1$  is a  $\sigma_1$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$ . Moreover, for the new pair  $(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$  we have

$$\mu_1(e \cdot N) = (g_0^{-1} \mu g_0)(e \cdot N) = g_0^{-1} \mu(g_0 \cdot N) = e \cdot N.$$

Comparing the stabilizers at  $e \cdot N$  and  $\mu_1(e \cdot N)$ , we get

$$\sigma_1(N) = N.$$

It follows that

$$N = \sigma_1(N) = i_{g_0}^{-1} \sigma i_{g_0} (N) = g_0^{-1} \sigma(g_0) \sigma(N) \sigma(g_0)^{-1} g_0.$$

As we have seen,  $\sigma(N) = aNa^{-1}$ . Substituting this in the previous equality, we get  $g_0^{-1} \sigma(g_0) a \in N$ , and it follows that  $\sigma_1(H) = H$ .

Now, assuming  $\sigma(H) = H$  consider the subset  $\Omega \subset G/B$  whose points correspond to the Borel subgroups  $B_* \subset G$  with  $B_* \cdot H$  open in  $G$ . Then  $\Omega$  is Zariski open and  $\sigma$ -stable. The subset of  $\sigma$ -fixed Borel subgroups is a totally real submanifold in  $G/B$ , having maximal possible dimension. Thus its intersection with  $\Omega$  is non-empty.  $\square$

**Remark 4.15.** The normalizer of a spherical subgroup is in general not self-normalizing, see Example 4 in [Av]. In Theorem 4.14, we do not know if the condition of  $N$  being self-normalizing is essential.

## 5. REAL PART: LOCAL STRUCTURE AND $G_0^\sigma$ -ORBITS

Let  $X$  be a strict wonderful  $G$ -variety of rank  $r$  equipped with the canonical real structure  $\mu$ . For a complex vector space  $V$  and an anti-linear map  $\nu : V \rightarrow V$  we denote by the same letter  $\nu$  the induced anti-holomorphic map of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ .

**Proposition 5.16.** *There exist a simple  $G$ -module  $V$  with the associated representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ , an anti-linear involutive map  $\nu : V \rightarrow V$ , and an embedding  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ , such that*

- (i)  $\nu(\rho(g) \cdot v) = \rho(\sigma(g)) \cdot \nu(v) \quad (v \in V)$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(gx) = \rho(g) \cdot \varphi(x) \quad (x \in X)$  and
- (iii)  $\varphi(\mu x) = \nu \varphi(x) \quad (x \in X)$ .

*In particular,  $\mathbb{R}X$  is  $G^\sigma$ -equivariantly embedded into the real projective space  $\mathbb{RP}(V) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ , defined by  $\nu$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a non-singular projective  $G$ -variety,  $X$  can be  $G$ -equivariantly embedded into the projectivization of a  $G$ -module. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  be such an embedding and let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  denote the representation associated to  $V$ . Since  $X$  is a strict wonderful variety, we may choose  $V$  to be simple; see [P].

Now, equip the complex conjugate vector space  $\bar{V}$  with the  $G$ -module structure given by  $g \mapsto \overline{\rho(\sigma(g))}$ . By Lemma 3.6, it follows that the  $G$ -modules  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  are isomorphic. In other words, we have an anti-linear map  $\nu : V \rightarrow V$  satisfying (i). Though  $\nu$  is not necessarily involutive, we can modify  $\nu$  to get this property. As in Appendix A.2,

let  $v^-$  be a lowest weight vector of  $V$ . Then  $\nu(v^-)$  is also a lowest weight vector, hence  $\nu(v^-) = cv^-$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This implies  $\nu^2(v^-) = \nu(cv^-) = \bar{c} \cdot \nu(v^-) = |c|^2 v^-$ . Replacing  $\nu$  by  $\nu/|c|$ , we get an involutive anti-linear map satisfying (i).

Since (ii) is clear from the construction, it remains to show (iii). Note that  $\nu \circ \varphi \circ \mu$  is another  $G$ -equivariant embedding of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . Thus (iii) follows from the uniqueness of such an embedding; see [P].  $\square$

Let  $Z$  be the slice defined in Appendix A.2. We show that  $Z$  can be chosen to be  $\mu$ -stable. As we have seen in Proposition 5.16, the line  $\mathbb{C} \cdot v^-$  is  $\nu$ -stable. So we may assume that  $\nu(v^-) = v^-$ . Then the tangent space  $W := T_v - G \cdot v^-$  is also  $\nu$ -stable. Consider the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}V = \{v \in V \mid \nu(v) = v\}$  and let  $\mathbb{R}W = W \cap \mathbb{R}V$ . Then  $\mathbb{R}W$  is stable under  $L^\sigma$  and, also, under the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}^\sigma$  of  $L^\sigma$ . Now, the center of  $\mathfrak{l}^\sigma$  is contained in the center of the complexified algebra  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}^\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and is therefore represented by semisimple endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}V$ . The complete reducibility theorem for reductive Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$  implies that  $\mathbb{R}W$  has a  $\mathfrak{l}^\sigma$ -stable complement in  $\mathbb{R}V$ ; see [C], Ch.IV, § 4. Call this complement  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The complexification  $E_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset V$  is  $\mathfrak{l}$ -stable and therefore  $L$ -stable. So we can take  $E = E_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $E_{\mathbb{R}} = E \cap \mathbb{R}V$  is not just  $\mathfrak{l}^\sigma$ -stable, but also  $L^\sigma$ -stable even if  $L^\sigma$  is disconnected.

Obviously,  $\nu(E) = E$ . Furthermore, the linear form  $\eta$  in Appendix A.2 can be chosen real. Therefore, using (iii) of Proposition 5.16, we see that  $\mu(Z) = Z$ . Note that  $P^u \cdot Z$  is  $\mu$ -stable and  $\mathbb{R}(P^u \cdot Z) = (P^u)^\sigma \cdot \mathbb{R}Z$ .

The first assertion of the following proposition is a real analogue of Local Structure Theorem in [BLV]; see also Appendix A.2.

**Proposition 5.17.** (i) *The natural mapping*

$$(P^u)^\sigma \times (\mathbb{R}Z) \rightarrow (P^u)^\sigma \cdot \mathbb{R}Z = \mathbb{R}(P^u \cdot Z)$$

*is a  $(P^u)^\sigma$ -equivariant isomorphism.*

(ii) *Each  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit in  $\mathbb{R}X$  contains points of the slice  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows readily from Local Structure Theorem and the above construction of  $Z$ .

To prove (ii), take a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}X$ . Since  $X$  is wonderful, the orbit  $G \cdot x$  is not contained in a prime divisor  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . The intersection  $G \cdot x \cap (\cup_{\mathcal{D}(X)} D)$  is a proper Zariski closed subset in  $G \cdot x$ . By the last assertion of Lemma 3.5, this subset does not contain  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x$ . Thus  $G_0^\sigma \cdot x \cap X \setminus \cup_{\mathcal{D}(X)} D \neq \emptyset$ , and (ii) follows from (i).  $\square$

In the remainder,  $x$  denotes a real point in  $X_G^\circ \cap Z$  and  $H \subset G$  is the stabilizer of  $x$ . We assume that  $\sigma(B) = B$  and  $\mu(Z) = Z$ . It follows that  $\sigma(H) = H$ . Note also that  $B \cdot H$  is open in  $G$  because the orbit  $B \cdot x$  is open in  $X$ .

As we recall in Appendix A.2,  $T$  acts linearly on  $Z$  and the corresponding characters, say  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ , are linearly independent. These characters are usually called *spherical roots of  $X$* . Further, we have

$$T \cap H = \bigcap_i \ker \gamma_i.$$

Set

$$A = T/T \cap H$$

and let  ${}_2A \subset A$  be the subgroup of elements of order at most 2. Note that any element  $t \in T$  can be uniquely written as

$$t = t_0 t_1, \quad \text{where } t_0 \in T_0^\sigma \text{ and } \sigma(t_1) = t_1^{-1}.$$

Such a decomposition of  $t$  will be referred to as the decomposition of  $t$  with respect to  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 5.18.** *The  $T_0^\sigma$ -orbits of  $\mathbb{R}Z \cap X_G^\circ$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of  ${}_2A$ . In particular, the number of such orbits does not exceed  $2^r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in T$  and let  $y = t \cdot x$  be a real point. Then  $(\gamma_i \circ \sigma)(t) = \gamma_i(t)$  for every spherical root  $\gamma_i$  of  $X$ . By Lemma 3.6, it follows that  $\gamma_i(t)$  is real-valued. If  $t = t_0 t_1$  is the decomposition of  $t$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , then we have  $\gamma_i(t_1) = \pm 1$ . Therefore  $t_1^2 \in H$ . Assigning to  $y \in \mathbb{R}Z \cap X_G^\circ$  the image of  $t_1$  in  $A$ , we get a correctly defined map from the set of  $T_0^\sigma$ -orbits on  $\mathbb{R}Z \cap X_G^\circ$  to  ${}_2A$ :

$$\alpha : T_0^\sigma \setminus (\mathbb{R}Z \cap X_G^\circ) \rightarrow {}_2A.$$

The injectivity of  $\alpha$  is obvious. To prove the surjectivity, take any  $t \in T$ , such that  $t^2 \in H$ . Then  $\gamma_i(t^2) = 1$ , hence  $\gamma_i(t) = \pm 1$ . It follows that  $t \cdot x$  is a real point. Furthermore,  $\gamma_i(t_0) = 1$  and  $\gamma_i(t_1) = \gamma_i(t)$ . Hence  $t \cdot H = t_1 \cdot H$  and  $\alpha(T_0^\sigma \cdot x) = t \bmod T \cap H$ .  $\square$

Let  $I$  denote a subset of  $\{1, \dots, r\}$  and let  $O_I \subset X$  be the corresponding  $G$ -orbit. Recall that  $O_I$  is  $\mu$ -stable.

**Theorem 5.19.** (i) *Each  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbit in  $\mathbb{R}O_I$  intersects the slice  $Z$  in a finite number of  $T_0^\sigma$ -orbits. The number of  $T_0^\sigma$ -orbits in  $\mathbb{R}Z \cap O_I$  does not exceed  $2^{r-|I|}$ .*

(ii)  *$\mathbb{R}O_I$  contains at most  $2^{r-|I|}$   $G^\sigma$ -orbits.*

(iii) The total number of  $G_0^\sigma$ -orbits in  $\mathbb{R}X$  is smaller than or equal to

$$\sum_{k=0}^r 2^k \binom{r}{k}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that the  $G$ -orbit closures in  $X$  are also strict wonderful  $G$ -varieties. Furthermore, the rank of the orbit closure  $\text{cl}(O_I)$  equals  $r - |I|$ ; see Sect. 3.2 in [Lu2]. Thus (i) follows from (ii) of Proposition 5.17, along with the estimate in Proposition 5.18. From (i) we get (ii), and (iii) is obtained by summing up over all  $G$ -orbits.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Let  $(\mathbb{P}^n)^*$  denote the variety of hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and let  $X = \mathbb{P}^n \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^*$  be acted on diagonally by  $G = PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . Suppose  $n > 1$ . Then  $X$  is a strict wonderful variety of rank 1. The canonical real structure  $\mu$  is defined by the complex conjugation on each factor of  $X$ . Moreover,  $G_0^\sigma = G^\sigma = PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}X$  with two orbits.

**Example 2.** Consider the quadratic form

$$F(z) = z_1^2 + \dots + z_p^2 - z_{p+1}^2 - \dots - z_{p+q}^2, \quad q \geq p > 0, \quad p + q > 2.$$

The corresponding orthogonal group  $G = SO_F$  acts on  $X = \mathbb{P}^m$  as a subgroup of  $SL_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $m = p + q - 1$ . Under this action,  $X$  is a two-orbit  $G$ -variety. The closed  $G$ -orbit is given by the equation  $F = 0$ . Again,  $X$  is a strict wonderful variety of rank 1. Let  $\mu : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  be the involutive mappings defined by complex conjugation. Then  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -equivariant real structure on  $X$ . Note that  $\sigma$  defines a split real form of  $G$  only for  $q = p$  or  $q = p + 1$ . The real part  $\mathbb{R}X$  is the real projective space  $\mathbb{RP}^m$ , on which  $G_0^\sigma$  acts with three orbits:  $F > 0$ ,  $F < 0$  and  $F = 0$ .

**Remark 5.20.** Starting with a real semisimple symmetric space, A. Borel and L. Ji considered the wonderful completion of the complexified homogeneous space. In this special setting, the completion is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  in a natural way. For the description of real group orbits on the set of its real points see [BJ], chapters 5-7.

## APPENDIX A. SPHERICAL VARIETIES: INVARIANTS AND LOCAL STRUCTURE

### A.1. Luna-Vust invariants of spherical homogeneous spaces.

We recollect the definition of the combinatorial invariants attached to a given spherical  $G$ -variety  $X$ ; see [LV].

Let  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  denote the function field of  $X$ . Then the natural left action of  $G$  on  $X$  yields a  $G$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{C}(X)$ . The weight lattice

$\Lambda^+(X)$  is the set of  $B$ -weights of the  $B$ -eigenfunctions of  $\mathbb{C}(X)$ . Since  $X$  is spherical, the  $\chi$ -weight space of  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  is of dimension 1 for every  $\chi \in \Lambda^+(X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}(X)$  be the set of  $G$ -invariant discrete  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued valuations of  $\mathbb{C}(X)$ . Consider the mapping

$$\rho : \mathcal{V}(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda^+(X), \mathbb{Q}), \quad v \mapsto (\chi \mapsto v(f_\chi)).$$

where  $f_\chi$  is a  $B$ -eigenfunction of  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  of weight  $\chi$ . The map  $\rho$  is injective, hence one may regard  $\mathcal{V}(X)$  in  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda^+(X), \mathbb{Q})$ . Further, this cone is convex and simplicial. The cone  $\mathcal{V}(X)$  is called *the valuation cone of  $X$* ; see for instance [Br1].

Define *the set of colors  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  of  $X$*  as the set of  $B$ -stable, but not  $G$ -stable prime divisors of  $X$ . This is a finite set equipped with two maps, namely,  $D \mapsto \rho(v_D)$  and  $D \mapsto G_D$  with  $v_D$  (resp.  $G_D$ ) being the valuation defined by (resp. the stabilizer in  $G$  of) the color  $D$ .

*The Luna-Vust invariants of  $X$*  are given by the triple  $\Lambda^+(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . For two spherical  $G$ -varieties  $X$  and  $X'$ , the equality  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}(X')$  means that there exists a bijection  $\iota : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X')$ , such that  $G_D = G_{\iota(D)}$  and  $\rho(v_D) = \rho(v_{\iota(D)})$ .

**Theorem 1.21** ([Lo]). *Let  $H$  and  $H'$  be spherical subgroups of  $G$ . If  $H$  and  $H'$  have the same Luna-Vust invariants then they are  $G$ -conjugate.*

**A.2. Local structure.** We recall the so-called Local Structure Theorem with special emphasis on the case of wonderful varieties.

Let  $G$  denote a connected reductive algebraic group. Fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  and a maximal torus  $T \subset B$  of  $G$ .

First, consider any normal and irreducible  $G$ -variety  $X$  and let  $Y$  be a complete  $G$ -orbit of  $X$ . Let  $y \in X$  be fixed by the Borel subgroup  $B^-$  of  $G$  opposite to  $B$  and containing  $T$ . Let  $P$  denote the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  opposite to the stabilizer  $G_y$  and containing  $T$ . Then  $L = P \cap G_y$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P$ , so that  $P = L \cdot P^u$ , where  $P^u$  is the unipotent radical of  $P$ . Theorem 1.4 in [BLV] asserts that there exists an affine  $L$ -variety  $Z$ , such that  $y \in Z$  and the natural map  $P^u \times Z \rightarrow P^u \cdot Z$  is an isomorphism.

Suppose now that  $X$  is spherical and denote the set of colors of  $X$  by  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ ; see Appendix A.1. Further, if  $Y$  is the unique closed  $G$ -orbit in  $X$  then  $P^u Z$  is the affine set  $X \setminus \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}(X)} D$  and  $Z$  is a spherical  $L$ -variety. In the case of wonderful varieties Theorem 1.4 in [BLV] can be formulated as follows; see [Lu1], Sect. 1.1, 1.2, and [Br1], Sect. 2.2-2.4.

**Theorem 1.22.** *Assume  $X$  is a wonderful  $G$ -variety. There exists an affine  $L$ -subvariety  $Z$  of  $X$  containing  $y$  such that*

- (i)  $P^u \times Z \rightarrow X \setminus \cup_{\mathcal{D}(X)} D : (p, z) \mapsto p.z$  is an isomorphism.
- (ii) The derived group of  $L$  acts trivially on  $Z$  and  $Z$  intersects each  $G$ -orbit of  $X$  in one single  $T$ -orbit.
- (iii) The variety  $Z$  is the affine space of dimension equal to the rank  $r$  of  $X$ . Moreover,  $Z$  is acted on linearly by  $T$  and the corresponding  $r$  characters of  $T$  are linearly independent.

Note that (ii) is a consequence of the configuration of the  $G$ -orbit closures in a wonderful variety. To obtain (iii), remark that  $Z$  is smooth since so is  $X$  and thereafter apply (ii) together with Luna Slice Theorem.

Let us now recollect how the slice  $Z$  is constructed in the case of strict wonderful varieties. One may consult [BLV] for a general treatment. Let

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

be an embedding of  $X$  within the projectivization of a finite dimensional  $G$ -module  $V$ . Thanks to [P], we can take  $V$  to be simple. Then  $y$  regarded in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  can be written as  $[v^-]$  with  $v^-$  being a  $B^-$ -eigenvector of  $V$  (unique up to a scalar). Since  $L$  is reductive, there exists an  $L$ -module submodule  $E \subset V$  such that

$$V = T_{v^-}G \cdot v^- \oplus E,$$

where  $T_{v^-}G \cdot v^-$  stands for the tangent space of the orbit  $G \cdot v^-$  at the point  $v^-$ . Let  $\eta$  be the linear form on  $V$  such that  $\eta(v^-) = 1$  and  $\eta$  is a  $B$ -eigenvector. Let  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}v^- \oplus E)_\eta$  be the open set of  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  on which  $\eta$  does not vanish. Then

$$Z = \varphi^{-1} \left( \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}v^- \oplus E)_\eta \right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [A] D. Akhiezer, *Spherical Stein manifolds and the Weyl involution*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **59**, (2009), 3, 1029–1041.
- [AP] D. Akhiezer and A. Püttmann, *Antiholomorphic involutions of spherical complex spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, (2008), 5, 1649–1657.
- [Av] R. Avdeev, *The normalizers of solvable spherical subgroups*, arXiv: 1107.5175.
- [BJ] A. Borel and L. Ji, *Compactifications of Symmetric and Locally Symmetric Spaces*, Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [BS] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Théorèmes de finitude et cohomologie galoisienne*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 111–164.
- [BCF] P. Bravi and S. Cupit-Foutou, *Classification of strict wonderful varieties*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **560**, (2010), 2, 641–681.
- [Br1] M. Brion, *Variétés sphériques*, Notes de la session de la S. M. F. "Opérations hamiltoniennes et opérations de groupes algébriques", Grenoble, 1997, 1–60.



- [Br2] M. Brion, *The total coordinate ring of a wonderful variety*, J. Algebra **313**, (2007), 1, 61–99.
- [BLV] M. Brion, D. Luna and T. Vust, *Espaces homogènes sphériques*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 617–632.
- [C] C. Chevalley, *Théorie des groupes de Lie*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [DP] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., 996, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 1–44.
- [De] C. Delaunay, *Real structures on compact toric varieties*, Thèse, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 2004.
- [K] F. Knop, *Automorphisms, root systems, and compactifications of homogeneous varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 153–174.
- [Lo] I. Losev, *Uniqueness property for spherical homogeneous spaces*, Duke Math. J. **147** (2009), 2, 315–343.
- [Lu1] D. Luna, *Toute variété magnifique est sphérique*, Transform. Groups **1** (1996), 3, 249–258.
- [Lu2] D. Luna, *Variété sphériques de type A*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **94** (2001), 161–226.
- [LV] D. Luna and T. Vust, *Plongements d’espaces homogènes*, Comment. Math. Helv., **58** (1983), 186–245.
- [P] G. Pezzini, *Simple immersions of wonderful varieties*, Math. Z., **255** (2007), 793–812.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1997.
- [W] J. Wolf, *The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold I. Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components*, Bull. AMS, **75** (1969), 1121–1237.

DMITRI AKHIEZER, INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS,  
B.KARETNY PER. 19, 101447 MOSCOW, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* akhiezer@iitp.ru

STÉPHANIE CUPIT-FOUTOU, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM, NA 4/67, BOCHUM,  
GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* stephanie.cupit@rub.de